

EVALUATION METHODS FOR ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

The evaluation method for determining significance of impacts is shown below.¹

Note that an adjustment was made, which involved changing the consequence column to the significance column, due to the fact that probability should not necessarily determine significance, as, for example, catastrophic events would be highly significant, even though the probability of such an event occurring is low.

Definitions of or criteria for environmental impact parameters

The significance of environmental impacts is a function of the environmental aspects that are present and to be impacted on, the probability of an impact occurring and the consequence of such an impact occurring before and after implementation of proposed mitigation measures.

(a) *Extent (spatial scale):*

Ranking criteria

L	M	H
Impact is localized within site boundary	Widespread impact beyond site boundary; Local	Impact widespread far beyond site boundary; Regional/national

Take into consideration:

- Access to resources; amenity
- Threats to lifestyles, traditions and values
- Cumulative impacts, including possible changes to land uses at and around the site.

(b) *Duration:*

Ranking criteria

L	M	H
Quickly reversible, less than project life, short term (0-5 years)	Reversible over time; medium term to life of project (5-15 years)	Long term; beyond closure; permanent; irreplaceable or irretrievable commitment of resources

Take into consideration:

- Cost – benefit economically and socially (e.g. long or short term costs/benefits)

¹ (Adapted from T Hacking, AATS – Envirolink, 1998: An innovative approach to structuring environmental impact assessment reports. In: IAIA SA 1998 Conference Papers and Notes

(c) Intensity (severity):

Type of Criteria	Negative			Positive		
	H-	M-	L-	L+	M+	H+
Qualitative	Substantial deterioration, death, illness or injury, loss of habitat/diversity or resource, severe alteration or disturbance of important processes.	Moderate deterioration, discomfort, Partial loss of habitat/biodiversity/resource or slight alteration	Minor deterioration, nuisance or irritation, minor change in species/habitat/diversity or resource, no or very little quality deterioration.	Minor improvement, restoration, improved management	Moderate improvement, restoration, improved management, substitution	Substantial improvement, substitution
Quantitative	Measurable deterioration Recommended level will often be violated (e.g. pollution)	Measurable deterioration Recommended level will occasionally be violated	No measurable change; Recommended level will never be violated	No measurable change; Within or better than recommended level.	Measurable improvement	Measurable improvement
Community response	Vigorous	Widespread complaints	Sporadic complaints	No observed reaction	Some support	Favourable publicity

Take into consideration:

- Cost – benefit economically and socially (e.g. high nett cost = substantial deterioration)
- Impacts on human-induced climate change
- Impacts on future management (e.g. easy/practical to manage with change or recommendation)

(d) Probability of occurrence:

Ranking criteria

L	M	H
Unlikely; low likelihood; Seldom No known risk or vulnerability to natural or induced hazards.	Possible, distinct possibility, frequent Low to medium risk or vulnerability to natural or induced hazards.	Definite (regardless of prevention measures), highly likely, continuous High risk or vulnerability to natural or induced hazards.

The specialist study must attempt to quantify the magnitude of impacts and outline the rationale used. Where appropriate, international standards are to be used as a measure of the level of impact.

(e) Status of the impact:

Describe whether the impact is positive, negative or neutral for each parameter. The ranking criteria are described in negative terms. Where positive impacts are identified, use the opposite, positive descriptions for criteria.

Based on a synthesis of the information contained in (a) to (e) above, the specialist will be required to assess the significance of potential impacts in terms of the following criteria:

(f) Significance: (Duration X Extent X Intensity)

Intensity = L			
Duration	H		
	M		Medium
	L	Low	
Intensity = M			
Duration	H		High
	M		Medium
	L	Low	
Intensity = H			
Duration	H		
	M		High
	L	Medium	
	L	M	H
Extent			

Positive impacts would be ranked in the same way as negative impacts, but result in high, medium or low positive consequence.

(g) Degree of confidence in predictions:

State the degree of confidence in the predictions, based on the availability of information and specialist knowledge.

(h) Significance Table Format:

Example of how significance tables should be formatted.

	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Status	Significance	Probability	Confidence
Without Mitigation							
With Mitigation							